

Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 94 (1998) 123-126



Short communication Transient and stable transfection of *Leishmania* by particle bombardment

Sandro Sbicego, Achim Schnaufer, Beat Blum *

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Bern, Freiestrasse 3, CH-3012, Bern, Switzerland

Received 17 April 1998; accepted 22 April 1998

Keywords: Biolistic transformation; Kinetoplastida; β -glucuronidase; Leishmania tarentolae

Transfection of trypanosomatids, particularly the transfection of their mitochondrion and different developmental stages, is still a limiting step in many experiments using recombinant DNA technology. Two types of trypanosomatid transfection protocols have been described so far. The standard protocol is based on electroporation first described by Bellofatto and Cross [1]. The second protocol is based on transfection using a homemade particle gun [2]. The biolistic method was first applied to plants [3], but is now routinely used for transfection of a wide variety of targets ranging from bacterial to mammalian cells [4–10] and it is the only method described so far to transfect organelles [10,11].

In trypanosomatids, the genetic organization of the mitochondrion shows several unusual features like a complex DNA network composed of thousands of catenated circular DNA molecules [12], the lack of encoded tRNAs [13] and the still enigmatic process of RNA editing [14]. However, the trypanosomatid mitochondrion is not yet accessible to recombinant DNA technology, and therefore the experimental in vivo analysis of the mentioned features is severely restricted. It is intended that the particle bombardment technique should be applied to transfect mitochondria of trypanosomatids. Since the optimal bombarding conditions for nuclear and organellar transfection of a given organism generally are identical [6,10,15,16] and as a first step, the factors influencing the nuclear transfection efficiency have been investigated.

The ability of microprojectiles to deliver DNA into *Leishmania* cells was demonstrated either by the presence of transient β -glucuronidase (GUS) activity or by selection of stable transformants on plates following bombardment with the plasmid pX63NEO-GUS [17] using the DuPont Biolistic

Abbreviations: GUS, β -glucuronidase.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +41 31 6314342; fax: +41 31 6313383; e-mail beat.blum@ibc.unibe.ch



Fig. 1. Optimization of pressure and osmotic pre-treatment. $50 \times 10^6 L$. *tarentolae* cells were plated on a pagar [18] consisting of 1% agar solidified BHI + , 3M Whatman paper and a ST68 membrane (Schleicher and Schuell) and were then bombarded with M5 tungsten particles coated with 1 μ g of plasmid pX63NEO-GUS using rupture pressure conditions ranging from 900 psi to 2000 psi. To determine the effect of an osmotic pre-treatment, the cells were incubated for 2–3 h with 6% sucrose prior to bombarding. After bombardment, the pagar was overlaid with liquid BHI + . The transfection efficiency was determined measuring the transient reporter gene activity after 42 h. Sample points are summarized in Box-plots showing the 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and the 0.9 percentiles. Extreme values are indicated by crosses. n = number of shots above back-ground compared to the total number of shots.

PDS-1000/He gun. In more than 150 independent bombardments, the effects of different rupture pressures and osmotic pre-treatments (Fig. 1) as well as particle types and sizes (Fig. 2) have been evaluated. The resulting GUS activity was measured fluorometrically [17]. The background fluorescence reached about 3% of the values obtained by optimized bombarding conditions, and no endogenous GUS-activity could be detected. Using tungsten M5 particles, a pressure of 1800 psi and an osmotic pre-treatment with 6% sucrose gave the highest transfection efficiency.

Having established the conditions for transient transfections, a plating assay was applied to determined the efficiency of stable transfection. After bombardment, the cells were cultured overnight in liquid BHI + medium without selective drugs. The cells were then plated on selective plates (1%)

Agar; 5% FCS, 1:1 mixture of BHI + /M199) containing 100 mg l⁻¹ G418. Up to 400 colonies of stable transformants (per μ g plasmid) appeared after approximately 2 weeks of incubation at 27°C.

The results showed a high variability, as is characteristic for the biolistic method. The main source of variation has been attributed to particle coating, leading to variable patterns of particle agglomeration [18]. When performing transient transfection assays for the analysis of regulatory sequences, an internal standard, such as a second reporter gene system, could be introduced via co-bombardment leading to highly reproducible results [19,20].

The M5 tungsten particles used here in optimized biolistic transfection experiments are the smallest particles available. They are the most



Fig. 2. Optimization of particle type. To determine which particle type gave the best transfection rates, tungsten M5, tungsten M10, and gold particles of 1 and 0.6 μ m were tested. The M5 particles are characterized by a diameter of 0.771 μ m (average) and a median of 0.362 μ m, whereas the M10 have an average diameter of 1.07 μ m and a median of 0.64 μ m. The highest transfection efficiency was obtained using the M5 particles, all other particles gave significantly lower transfection rates. The use of 1 μ m gold particles did not result in activities above the background. All cells were treated with sucrose prior to bombarding as described in Fig. 1. Sample points were collected and summarized as described in Fig. 1.

commonly used particles for transfection of very small biological structures like organelles and bacteria. Furthermore, the bombarding conditions for nuclear and organellar transfection are usually identical. Therefore, it can be concluded that the results presented in this work will be of valuable impact for setting up a mitochondrial transfection system in *Leishmania* in order to study mitochondrial processes such as RNA-editing.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Cris Kuhlemeier and Isabelle Dupuis from the Institute of Plant Physiology (Bern) for providing the particle-gun and technical assistance, and for helpful discussions. We thank Thomas Seebeck for critical comments on the manuscript and Reto Bader for help with the statistical analysis. This work was supported by a grant from the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds and a START-fellowship to BB.

References

- Bellofatto V, Cross GA. Expression of a bacterial gene in a trypanosomatid protozoan. Science 1989;244:1167–9.
- [2] Vainstein MH, Alves SA, de Lima BD, Aragao FJL, Rech EL. Stable DNA transfection in a flagellate trypanosomatid by microparticle bombardment. Nucleic Acids Res 1994;22:3263–4.
- [3] Klein TM, Wolf ED, Wu R, Sanford JC. High-velocity microprojectiles for delivering nucleic acids into living cells. Nature 1987;327: pp.70.
- [4] Klein TM, Harper EC, Svab Z, Sanford JC, Fromm ME, Maliga P. Stable genetic transfection of intact *Nicotiana* cells by the particle bombardment process. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1988;85:8502–5.

- [5] Smith FD, Harpending PR, Sanford JC. Biolistic transformation of prokaryotes: factors that affect biolistic transformation of very small cells. J Gen Microbiol 1992;138:239–48.
- [6] Armaleo D, Ye GN, Klein TM, Shark KB, Sanford JC, Johnston SA. Biolistic nuclear transformation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and other fungi. Curr Genet 1990;17:97– 103.
- [7] Cassidy-Hanley D, Bowen J, Lee JH, Cole E, VerPlank LA, Gaertig J, Gorovsky MA, Bruns PJ. Germline and somatic transformation of mating *Tetrahymena thermophila* by particle bombardment. Genetics 1997;146:135–47.
- [8] Klein TM, Arentzen R, Lewis PA, Fitzpatrick-McElligott S. Transformation of microbes, plants and animals by particle bombardment. Biotechnology 1992;10:286–91.
- [9] Yang NS, Burkholder J, Roberts B, Martinell B, McCabe D. In vivo and in vitro gene transfer to mammalian somatic cells by particle bombardment. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990;87:9568–72.
- [10] Johnston SA, Anziano PQ, Shark K, Sanford JC, Butow RA. Mitochondrial transformation in yeast by bombardment with microprojectiles. Science 1988;240:1538–41.
- [11] Boynton JE, Gillham NW, Harris EH, et al. Chloroplast transformation in *Chlamydomonas* with high velocity microprojectiles. Science 1988;240:1534–8.
- [12] Shapiro TA, Englund PT. The structure and replication of kinetoplast DNA. Annu Rev Microbiol 1995;49:117–43.

- [13] Schneider A, Martin J, Agabian N. A nuclear encoded tRNA of *Trypanosoma brucei* is imported into mitochondria. Mol Cell Biol 1994;14:2317–22.
- [14] Stuart K, Allen TE, Heidmann S, Seiwert SD. RNA editing in kinetoplastid protozoa. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1997;61:105–20.
- [15] Kindle KL. High-frequency nuclear transformation of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990;87:1228–32.
- [16] Kindle KL, Richards KL, Stern DB. Engeneering the chloroplast genome: Techniques and capabilities for chloroplast transformation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991;88:1721–5.
- [17] LeBowitz JH, Coburn CM, Beverley SM. Simultaneous transient expression assays of the trypanosomatid parasite *Leishmania* using beta-galactosidase and beta-glucuronidase as reporter enzymes. Gene 1991;103:119–23.
- [18] Sanford JC, Smith FD, Russell JA. Optimizing the biolistic process for different biological applications. Methods Enzymol 1993;217:483–509.
- [19] Leckie F, Devoto A, De Lorenzo G. Normalization of GUS by luciferase activity from the same cell extract reduces transformation variability. Biotechniques 1994;17:52–7.
- [20] Godon C, Caboche M, Daniel-Vedele F. Transient plant gene expression: a simple and reproducible method based on flowing particle gun. Biochimie 1993;75:591–5.